

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
ANNUAL BUDGET MEETING  
Tuesday, October 25, 2005 - 9:00 a.m., Board Room, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Government Center  
1316 North 14<sup>th</sup> Street, Superior, Wisconsin**

Meeting called to order by Chairman Douglas Finn.

Pledge of Allegiance recited.

Roll call taken by County Clerk Susan T. Sandvick. There were 22 present, 6 absent. Absent and excused were Bob Browne, Larry Quam, Rich Thompson, Mark Liebaert (arrived 9:10 a.m.), Woody Budnick (arrived 9:08 a.m.), Jan Jensen.

**PUBLIC INPUT**

Persons interested in speaking on budget items not specifically on the agenda were invited to do so at this time. No members of the public addressed the Board.

**RESOLUTIONS**

Roll Call		
District Number	Yes	No
1. Finn		
2. Browne		
3. O'Brien, John		
4. Glenn		
5. Baker		
6. Eckman		
7. Livingston		
8. Martin		
9. Prettie		
10. Robinson		
11. Conley		
12. Jaques		
13. Quam		
14. O'Brien, James		
15. McKenzie		
16. Sweeney		
17. Egan		
18. Allen		
19. Ryan		
20. Hendrickson		
21. Johnson, Kay		
22. Thompson		
23. Corbin		
24. Liebaert		
25. Budnick		
26. Johnson, Carol		
27. Stewart		
28. Jensen		
Roll: Ayes _____ Noes _____ Absent _____ Abstain _____  Passed <u>  X  </u> Lost _____ Refer _____ Amend _____ Other _____		
Rev. 8-19-05		

**RESOLUTION #117-05**  
**RESOLUTION BY THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

Subject: Transportation Services Grant

WHEREAS, Section 85.21 of the Wisconsin Statutes authorizes the Wisconsin Department of Transportation to annually grant financial aid to counties for the purposes of assisting them in providing specialized transportation services to the elderly and the disabled, and

WHEREAS, each grant must be matched with a local share of not less than 20% times the amount of the grant which is \$88,869.00, and

WHEREAS, this body considers that the provision of specialized transportation services would improve and promote the maintenance of human dignity and self-sufficiency of the elderly and the disabled.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Douglas County Board of Supervisors on this 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005, authorizes the Aging Resource Center to prepare and submit to the Wisconsin Department of Transportation an application for assistance during 2005 under Section 85.21 of the Wisconsin Statutes, in conformance with the requirements issued by that Department and also authorizes the obligation of county funds in the amount of \$20,000.00 in order to provide the required local match of \$17,774.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Douglas County Board of Supervisors authorizes the Aging Resource Center to execute a state aid contract with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation under Section 85.21 of the Wisconsin Statutes on behalf of Douglas County.

Dated this 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005.

(Committee Action: Unanimous)

**ACTION:** Motion by Glenn, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Roll Call		
District Number	Yes	No
1. Finn		
2. Browne		
3. O'Brien, John		
4. Glenn		
5. Baker		
6. Eckman		
7. Livingston		
8. Martin		
9. Prettie		
10. Robinson		
11. Conley		
12. Jaques		
13. Quam		
14. O'Brien, James		
15. McKenzie		
16. Sweeney		
17. Egan		
18. Allen		
19. Ryan		
20. Hendrickson		
21. Johnson, Kay		
22. Thompson		
23. Corbin		
24. Liebaert		
25. Budnick		
26. Johnson, Carol		
27. Stewart		
28. Jensen		
Roll: Ayes _____ Noes _____ Absent _____ Abstain _____  Passed <u>  X  </u> Lost _____ Refer _____ Amend _____ Other _____		
Rev. 8-19-05		

**RESOLUTION #118-05**  
**RESOLUTION BY THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

Subject: New Positions and Reclassifications

RESOLVED, that the Douglas County Board of Supervisors accepts the recommendations of the Administration Committee and approves the following new positions and reclassifications for 2006:

- (1) Department: Health & Human Services  
 Position: Clerical Reclassification  
 Request: Office Specialist I to Office Specialist II  
 Cost: \$909.00  
 Committee Action: Unanimous
  
- (2) Department: Health & Human Services  
 Position: Office Specialist II  
 Request: New Position  
 Cost: \$35,855.00 - \$42,500.00  
 Committee Action: Unanimous
  
- (3) Department: Health & Human Services  
 Position: Environmental Health Specialist  
 Request: Increase hours from 1950 to 2080  
 Cost: \$2,774.73  
 Committee Action: Unanimous
  
- (4) Department: Sheriff  
 Position: Eliminate Grant Coordinator  
 Request: New Position—Account Specialist III  
 Cost: (\$2,057.00)  
 Committee Action: Unanimous
  
- (5) Department: Sheriff  
 Position: Office Services Supervisor  
 Request: Increase hours from 1950 to 2080  
 Cost: \$3,074.00  
 Committee Action: Unanimous

Roll Call		
District Number	Yes	No
1. Finn		
2. Browne		
3. O'Brien, John		
4. Glenn		
5. Baker		
6. Eckman		
7. Livingston		
8. Martin		
9. Prettie		
10. Robinson		
11. Conley		
12. Jaques		
13. Quam		
14. O'Brien, James		
15. McKenzie		
16. Sweeney		
17. Egan		
18. Allen		
19. Ryan		
20. Hendrickson		
21. Johnson, Kay		
22. Thompson		
23. Corbin		
24. Liebaert		
25. Budnick		
26. Johnson, Carol		
27. Stewart		
28. Jensen		
Roll: Ayes _____ Noes _____ Absent _____ Abstain _____  Passed <u>  X  </u> Lost _____ Refer _____ Amend _____ Other _____		
Rev. 8-19-05		

- (6) Department: Medical Examiner  
 Position: Medical Examiner  
 Request: Increase weekly hours from 16 to 18  
 Cost: \$2,531.00  
 Committee Action: Unanimous
- (7) Department: Highway  
 Position: 4 Seasonal Laborers - 600 hours maximum for each position  
 Request: New positions  
 Cost: \$23,973.00  
 Committee Action: Unanimous
- (8) Department: Forestry  
 Position: 4 Forestry Interns  
 Request: New positions  
 Cost: \$30,080.00  
 Committee Action: Unanimous

Dated this 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005.

(Committee Action: As indicated above)

(Fiscal Note: As individually indicated above)

**ACTION:** Motion by Glenn, second Ryan, to adopt. Motion carried.

Roll Call		
District Number	Yes	No
1. Finn		
2. Browne		
3. O'Brien, John		
4. Glenn		
5. Baker		
6. Eckman		
7. Livingston		
8. Martin		
9. Prettie		
10. Robinson		
11. Conley		
12. Jaques		
13. Quam		
14. O'Brien, James		
15. McKenzie		
16. Sweeney		
17. Egan		
18. Allen		
19. Ryan		
20. Hendrickson		
21. Johnson, Kay		
22. Thompson		
23. Corbin		
24. Liebaert		
25. Budnick		
26. Johnson, Carol		
27. Stewart		
28. Jensen		
Roll: Ayes _____ Noes _____ Absent _____ Abstain _____  Passed <u>  X  </u> Lost _____ Refer _____ Amend _____ Other _____		
Rev. 8-19-05		

**RESOLUTION #119-05**  
**RESOLUTION BY THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

Subject: Designation of Banking Depositories

RESOLVED that the following banking institutions:

- M & I Bank
- National Bank of Commerce in Superior and Solon Springs
- F & M Bank
- Community Bank
- Security State Bank
- State Investment Pool
- Chippewa Valley Bank

of the State of Wisconsin, qualified as public depositories under Chapter 34 of the Wisconsin Statutes, shall be and are hereby designated until further action, as public depositories for all public monies coming into the hands of the Treasurer of the County of Douglas, State of Wisconsin.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that withdrawal of disbursements from any one of the above-named depositories shall be by order check or wire transfer as provided in Section 66.0607(3) of the Wisconsin Statutes and that in accordance therewith all order checks shall be signed by the following persons:

Susan T. Sandvick, County Clerk, or  
 Cheryl L. Westman, Deputy County Clerk

and

Sandra J. Petzold, Treasurer, or  
 Linda R. Helenius, Deputy Treasurer

and shall be so honored.

BE IT STILL FURTHER RESOLVED that there will be a limit of \$5,000,000 of County of Douglas funds in any depository, with the exception of the State Investment Pool, or banking institutions offering full insurance and/or collateralization at any one point in time and that funds can be deposited in money markets, checking accounts, U.S. Treasury Notes, U. S. Treasury Bills, Treasury Bonds, Re-purchasable agreements, Federal Home Loan Bank Bonds, and Certificates of Deposit.

BE IT STILL FURTHER RESOLVED that all financial institutions desiring to serve as public depositories for the County of

Roll Call		
District Number	Yes	No
1. Finn		
2. Browne		
3. O'Brien, John		
4. Glenn		
5. Baker		
6. Eckman		
7. Livingston		
8. Martin		
9. Prettie		
10. Robinson		
11. Conley		
12. Jaques		
13. Quam		
14. O'Brien, James		
15. McKenzie		
16. Sweeney		
17. Egan		
18. Allen		
19. Ryan		
20. Hendrickson		
21. Johnson, Kay		
22. Thompson		
23. Corbin		
24. Liebaert		
25. Budnick		
26. Johnson, Carol		
27. Stewart		
28. Jensen		
Roll: Ayes _____ Noes _____ Absent _____ Abstain _____  Passed <u>  X  </u> Lost _____ Refer _____ Amend _____ Other _____		
Rev. 8-19-05		

Douglas must provide the following information on a quarterly basis: the profit record for the most recent quarter and a copy of the annual report; and the County of Douglas will determine the propriety of depositing money in each institution on a quarterly basis.

BE IT STILL FURTHER RESOLVED that Douglas County also maintains the following bank accounts, with authorized signatories listed:

- (1) One checking and one money market account at National Bank of Commerce for Clerk of Courts; Joan E. Osty and Gloria Soyring, authorized signatories;
- (2) Douglas County Rural Housing Authority account at Superior Community Credit Union; Steve Rannenberg, Keith Wiley, Carol Johnson and Diane Zimmerman, authorized signatories;
- (3) Huber account at National Bank of Commerce; Mollie Wickersham, Fred Linder, Angel Swanson, Julie Ruikka, Marilyn Kizlik, Paulette Alseth, Russ Milroy, Tyler Edwards, and Lona Sullivan, authorized signatories;
- (4) Checking account at First National Bank for Register of Deeds; Kathy F. Hanson and Debora A. Prigge, authorized signatories;
- (5) Represented Payee Account at NBC: Money Market; Barb Russenberger, Candy Anderson, Nancy Belanger, Patricia Schanen; Checking; Patricia Schanen, Nancy Belanger; and (This will be closed as clients are transferred to new payees.)
- (6) Foster Parent Dinner Account checking at M&I; Brian Lundberg, Rhoda Nagorski, Candy Anderson.

BE IT STILL FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this resolution shall be delivered to each of the above-named depositories and said depositories may rely on this resolution until changed by lawful resolution and a certified copy of such resolution has been given to the cashier of the respective above-named depositories.

Dated this 25th day of October, 2005.  
(Committee Action: Unanimous)

ACTION: Motion by Egan, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

## REVIEW OF 2006 DOUGLAS COUNTY BUDGET

Referring to various sections of the 2006 Douglas County Budget book, County Administrator Koszarek presented a brief review of the budgeting process used this year. Departments were advised by Administration to stay within a 2% increase, if at all possible. This was not possible with the Communications Center due to contract change; Buildings and Grounds Department due to several variables; and Health and Human Services Department due to changes in reimbursement formulas. Total departmental increase is \$647,125, and with the 2% freeze of \$244,431, the county needed to cover \$402,000 for the operating budget. This will be accomplished with \$195,000 increase in sales tax; \$90,000 increase in interest income; and \$120,000 increase in the transfer from Forestry revenues. It is significant to recognize, with a 2% freeze and a \$55,000,000 budget, the county's levy is a very small portion of the funding streams, as opposed to cities and school districts. Last year's county levy was \$12,221,547, and for 2006 will be \$12,789,167, or an increase of 4.6%. Koszarek summarized the debt service, which is not subject to the tax freeze the same way as the operating budget. Government Center bond total was \$2,169,079 and a 2% increase brings that to \$2,469,819. Debt service payments do not stay on a regular schedule, but rather balloon up or down. Koszarek explained why Administration is recommending that the debt service be increased this year, in order for the bond service to level off between the Government Center bonding and highway bond service for the following seven or eight years. This means that the county would not have a significant impact on the levy after this year by the debt service, which is a very small part of the levy. The county is still under the caps. Tax rate before the new debt service would have been 4.3, and tax rate last year was 4.68. County is allowed to go to 4.71. Administration and Finance are recommending that, with the new debt service, the new rate would be 4.55, with levy increase of 4.6%. This is just the debt service. The 2005 debt service was \$2,421,391, and the recommendation is that the 2006 debt service be \$2,793,109, or an increase of \$371,718. This is an increase of \$323,290 over the 2%. The tax rate per \$1,000 goes from 4.68 to 4.55, a decrease of .28%. This would make the decrease in 2007 go down significantly. If operating budgets are held at 2% in the future, the total levy would go up approximately 2.2%. Also, the 4.6 percent increase this year covers 5% increase in health insurance, \$380,000 cut of federal funds in Health and Human Services, 2.5% in union and other staff costs, and projected increase in energy costs. This recommendation is being made because next year the county will not have the options it has this year, because the county does not see a significant increase in interest income and sales tax. The \$90,000 increase in sales tax is a figure that comes from the state, and the county has underbudgeted this in the past. This budget does not take into consideration the \$7,000,000 unfunded pension liability. The county cannot continue to rely on timber sales revenue, as that market can change dramatically at any time. Discussion followed on impact of the budget on real estate taxes. It was explained that this is hard to determine, because a lot depends on tax figures coming from budgets from the school district, etc., plus the state's equalized value for each town, village, city. Further discussion continued on departmental review of monthly budget reports, and the impact of reimbursement formula changes by the state and federal governments for various mandated programs. It was suggested that a quarterly analysis of budget status be done at the department level, with some historical data, that could perhaps help identify keys to any problem areas. Koszarek explained there are some budgeted items that cannot be touched, because these are mandated programs that the county is obligated to provide. Consequently, there is not much room in the budget for the unexpected. Doucette added that most departments are right on target. Allen questioned the Land Development tax levy figure

on page 38, as he never recalled a levy for this. Doucette responded it is a revenue, and should not be in this category. It will be corrected. Sweeney asked if the county has an external financial benchmark to see how they are doing in comparison with other counties, northern region or statewide. Koszarek responded levies are all over the place, because a lot has to do with industries, business and property values, so there are no standards to apply. Chair Finn explained that taxpayer reports on tax levy, shows Douglas County is about in the middle for the 72 county list. One benchmark other municipalities use is the bond rating, and Douglas County's last rating was A3, which is a pretty good rating for a county this size.

County Board of Supervisors:

\$235,400 Total Expenses; Other Revenues Zero; \$235,400 Net Cost; \$235,400 Tax Levy.  
Motion by Martin, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Administration:

\$350,474 Total Expenses; \$64,000 Other Revenues; \$286,474 Net Cost; \$286,474 Tax Levy.  
Motion by Egan, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Clerk of Courts:

\$658,128 Total Expenses; \$488,115 Other Revenues; \$170,013 Net Cost; \$170,013 Tax Levy.  
Motion by McKenzie, second Ryan, to adopt. Motion carried.

Circuit Court I:

\$63,708 Total Expenses; Other Revenues Zero; \$63,708 Net Cost; \$63,708 Tax Levy.  
Motion by Egan, second James O'Brien, to adopt. Motion carried.

Circuit Court II:

\$64,908 Total Expenses; Other Revenues Zero; \$64,908 Net Cost; \$64,908 Tax Levy.  
Motion by Hendrickson, second Ryan, to adopt. Motion carried.

Court Commissioner:

\$168,861 Total Expenses; \$81,030 Other Revenues; \$87,831 Net Cost; \$87,831 Tax Levy.  
Motion by Ryan, second Livingston, to adopt. Motion carried.

District Attorney:

\$291,938 Total Expenses; \$58,400 Other Revenues; \$233,538 Net Cost; \$233,538 Tax Levy.  
Motion by Livingston, second Ryan, to adopt. Motion carried.

Corporation Counsel:

\$215,504 Total Expenses; \$131,500 Other Revenues; \$84,004 Net Cost; \$84,004 Tax Levy.  
Motion by Martin, second Corbin, to adopt. Motion carried.

Register of Deeds:

\$351,006 Total Expenses; \$255,450 Other Revenues; \$95,556 Net Cost; \$95,556 Tax Levy.  
Motion by Robinson, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Zoning and Land Information:

\$597,622 Total Expenses; \$306,645 Other Revenues; \$290,977 Net Cost; \$290,977 Tax Levy. Motion by C. Johnson, second Eckman, to adopt. Motion carried.

County Clerk:

\$330,062 Total Expenses; \$70,450 Other Revenues; \$259,612 Net Cost; \$259,612 Tax Levy. Motion by Ryan, second Stewart, to adopt. Motion carried.

County Treasurer:

\$356,808 Total Expenses; \$44,655 Other Revenues; \$312,153 Net Cost; \$312,153 Tax Levy. Motion by Livingston, second Corbin, to adopt. Motion carried.

Sheriff:

\$3,523,255 Total Expenses; \$524,792 Other Revenues; \$2,998,463 Net Cost; \$2,998,463 Tax Levy. Motion by Corbin, second John O'Brien, to adopt. Motion carried.

Jail:

\$4,702,079 Total Expenses; \$1,750,550 Other Revenues; \$2,951,529 Net Cost; \$2,951,529 Tax Levy. Motion by Baker, second Martin, to adopt. Brief discussion on any revenue generating options available, since the state is withdrawing state prisoners as of January 1, 2006. Koszarek responded the only thing at this time is an increase from other counties, including St. Louis County. Chair Finn added that in discussions with the Secretary of Department of Corrections, the Secretary has indicated Douglas County is on the list for some other considerations. Design of the jail requires a certain level of staffing regardless of the number of prisoners in cell blocks, so reducing fixed costs, such as personnel, is not always an option. During brief discussion, it was noted that a monthly report with jail inmate data, revenue and costs is reviewed by the Law Enforcement Committee. This report is periodically distributed by the committee to all County Board Supervisors. Motion carried.

Finance:

\$557,739 Total Expenses; \$179,359 Other Revenues; \$378,380 Net Cost; \$378,380 Tax Levy. Motion by Ryan, second Corbin, to adopt. Motion carried.

Recycling:

\$261,674 Total Expenses; \$152,200 Other Revenues; \$109,474 Net Cost; \$99,474 Tax Levy. Motion by K. Johnson, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Veterans Administration:

\$179,337 Total Expenses; \$21,520 Other Revenues; \$157,817 Net Cost; \$157,817 Tax Levy. Motion by Egan, second Robinson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Extension Office:

\$272,372 Total Expenses; \$11,450 Other Revenues; \$260,922 Net Cost; \$260,922 Tax Levy. Motion by Martin, second Ryan, to adopt. Motion carried.

Emergency Management:

\$303,389 Total Expenses; \$46,100 Other Revenues; \$257,289 Net Cost; \$257,289 Tax Levy. Motion by Baker, second K. Johnson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Communication Center:

\$837,276 Total Expenses; Other Revenues Zero; \$837,276 Net Cost; \$837,276 Tax Levy. Motion by Jaques, second Glenn, to adopt. Kesler explained the status of E-911: for land line phone has been in place since 1997; for cellular, the basic equipment has either already been installed or purchased. Currently, Kesler is working with Southern Bell to get dedicated circuits installed, at which point the cellular companies have six months to provide the service. Have not heard yet from Public Service Commission on the outcome of the grant. Motion carried.

Medical Examiner:

\$106,874 Total Expenses; \$13,550 Other Revenues; \$93,324 Net Cost; \$93,324 Tax Levy. Motion by Baker, second McKenzie, to adopt. Discussion followed on contractual services. Motion carried.

Buildings and Grounds:

\$547,056 Total Expenses; \$161,000 Other Revenues; \$386,056 Net Cost; \$386,056 Tax Levy. Motion by Corbin, second Robinson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Probate:

\$145,454 Total Expenses; \$50,000 Other Revenues; \$95,454 Net Cost; \$95,454 Tax Levy. Motion by Ryan, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Surveyor:

\$47,197 Total Expenses; \$2,000 Other Revenues; \$45,197 Net Cost; \$45,197 Tax Levy. Motion by Ryan, second Robinson, to adopt. Brief discussion followed on the surveyor's position, an upcoming study of the structure of the office/staffing, and identification of surveying problems in the county. Chair Finn remarked that the county has historically not put enough emphasis on the surveyor's position and services of that office. Motion carried.

Land Committee/Developmental:

\$418,727 Total Expenses; \$286,243 Other Revenues; \$132,484 Net Cost; Tax Levy Zero. Motion by Stewart, second Martin, to adopt. Brief discussion followed on the fairgrounds surcharge, and Doucette explained it is a reserve account, and can only be taken out of reserve by resolution for fairgrounds improvements. The \$57,000 allocation to the Chamber was questioned, and a suggestion was made that the contribution be earmarked for the Convention and Visitors Bureau tourism marketing or a specific tourism promotion. Motion by Allen, second McKenzie, to amend budget to reflect that the dollars are not allocated to the Chamber, but to Superior/Douglas County Convention and Visitors Bureau. Discussion followed. Allen explained there has been discussion by the committee about seeing that the county's dollars go directly to the publication costs, and pay part of that bill directly. Stewart applauded the Development Association's Director Andy Lisak, who is continually stepping forward to help in all areas of the county. The Land & Development Committee has discussed and decided that no new applications will be considered, and those

currently awarded contributions are frozen in terms of dollars, and should prepare for cuts. Motion carried. Motion to adopt budget, as amended, carried.

Non-Departmental Taxes:

(\$790,975) Total Expenses; (\$247,000) Other Revenues; (\$543,975) Net Cost; (\$643,975) Tax Levy. Motion by Allen, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Doucette explained the breakdown of this category. Motion carried.

Human Services:

\$19,714,653 Total Expenses; \$17,151,989 Other Revenues; \$2,562,664 Net Cost; \$2,352,664 Tax Levy. Motion by McKenzie, second Jaques, to adopt. Motion carried.

Health:

\$2,511,145 Total Expenses; \$1,456,032 Other Revenues; \$1,055,113 Net Cost; \$1,055,113 Tax Levy. Motion by Ryan, second K. Johnson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Child Support:

\$1,134,918 Total Expenses; \$948,040 Other Revenues; \$186,878 Net Cost; \$186,878 Tax Levy. Motion by Livingston, second Hendrickson, to adopt. This budget picks up about 34% of actual costs. Reimbursement formulas have changed. At one time this department did not have a levy at all. In 1975 to about 2000, the department was bringing in revenue. Most counties have been levying for this department for years. Motion carried.

Aging Resources:

\$576,226 Total Expenses; \$393,598 Other Revenues; \$182,628 Net Cost; \$182,628 Tax Levy Motion by Martin, second Robinson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Forestry:

\$1,789,882 Total Expenses; \$1,789,882 Other Revenues; Net Cost Zero. Tax Levy Zero. Motion by Liebaert, second Glenn, to adopt. Motion carried.

Highway:

\$5,804,831 Total Expenses; \$2,753,129 Other Revenues; \$3,051,702 Net Cost; \$3,051,702 Tax Levy. Motion by K. Johnson, second Corbin, to adopt. Allen asked why the \$300,000 is not put on the levy instead of the reserve. Doucette explained the reserve is for specific projects and represents available match dollars, but could be a consideration for next year. Motion carried.

Central Supply:

\$215,484 Total Expenses; \$215,484 Other Revenues; Net Cost Zero; Tax Levy Zero. Motion by McKenzie, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Worker's Compensation:

\$411,438 Total Expenses; \$411,438 Other Revenues; Net Cost Zero. Tax Levy Zero. Motion by Glenn, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Data Processing:

\$767,393 Total Expenses; \$767,393 Other Revenues; Net Cost Zero. Tax Levy Zero. Motion by Ryan, second Robinson, to adopt. Allen explained that the zero net cost and tax levy is due to chargeback for services to other departments and some state reimbursements. Doucette explained there is no indirect cost ratio for services to departments by Data Processing and Central Supply. Direct charges are processed and tracked by service provided. Motion carried.

Health Insurance:

\$3,980,515 Total Expenses; \$3,980,515 Other Revenues; Net Cost Zero. Tax Levy Zero. Motion by Hendrickson, second Martin, to adopt. McKenzie abstained. Motion carried.

Land Records:

\$223,712 Total Expenses; \$112,709 Other Revenues; \$111,003 Net Cost; \$111,003 Tax Levy. Motion by Hendrickson, second Martin, to adopt. Motion carried.

Debt Service:

\$3,036,124 Total Expenses; \$243,115 Other Revenues; \$2,793,009 Net Cost; \$2,793,009 Tax Levy. Motion by Egan, second Corbin, to adopt. Motion carried.

Direct Tax Relief (Excluding Sales Tax):

Total Expenses Zero; \$4,150,210 Other Revenues; (\$4,150,210) Net Cost; (\$4,150,210) Tax Levy. Motion by Corbin, second Hendrickson, to adopt. This includes state shared revenue, interest on taxes, forestry transfer, and interest income. Motion carried.

Sales Tax:

Total Expenses Zero; \$2,895,000 Other Revenues; (\$2,895,000) Net Cost; (\$2,895,000) Tax Levy. Motion by Allen, second Stewart, to adopt. Motion carried.

**2006 BUDGET RESOLUTIONS**

**RESOLUTION #120-05**  
**RESOLUTION BY THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

Subject: Special Charges Levy

RESOLVED that the Douglas County Board of Supervisors levy the sum of \$468,145.00 as apportioned on taxable property in Douglas County for the year 2005, for the purpose of paying special charges in 2006, as follows:

Recycling/Solid Waste Management	\$ 84,554.00
County Aid to Bridges	85,524.00
Superior Library	<u>298,067.00</u>
Total 2005 Special Charges	\$ 468,145.00

Dated this 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005.

(Committee Action: Unanimous)

**ACTION:** Motion by Egan, second Hendrickson, to adopt. Motion carried.

Roll Call		
District Number	Yes	No
1. Finn		
2. Browne		
3. O'Brien, John		
4. Glenn		
5. Baker		
6. Eckman		
7. Livingston		
8. Martin		
9. Prettie		
10. Robinson		
11. Conley		
12. Jaques		
13. Quam		
14. O'Brien, James		
15. McKenzie		
16. Sweeney		
17. Egan		
18. Allen		
19. Ryan		
20. Hendrickson		
21. Johnson, Kay		
22. Thompson		
23. Corbin		
24. Liebaert		
25. Budnick		
26. Johnson, Carol		
27. Stewart		
28. Jensen		
Roll: Ayes _____ Noes _____ Absent _____ Abstain _____  Passed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> _____ Lost _____ Refer _____ Amend _____ Other _____		
Rev. 8-19-05		

**RESOLUTION #121-05**  
**RESOLUTION BY THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

Subject: General Property Tax Levy

RESOLVED that the Douglas County Board of Supervisors levy the sum of \$12,789,167.00 (\$468,145.00 for special charges; \$12,321,022.00 for all other taxes) as apportioned on taxable property in Douglas County for the year 2005, for the purpose of paying general property taxes in 2006.

Dated this 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005.

(Committee Action: Unanimous)

ACTION: Motion by Martin, second Corbin, to adopt. Motion carried.

Roll Call		
District Number	Yes	No
1. Finn		
2. Browne		
3. O'Brien, John		
4. Glenn		
5. Baker		
6. Eckman		
7. Livingston		
8. Martin		
9. Prettie		
10. Robinson		
11. Conley		
12. Jaques		
13. Quam		
14. O'Brien, James		
15. McKenzie		
16. Sweeney		
17. Egan		
18. Allen		
19. Ryan		
20. Hendrickson		
21. Johnson, Kay		
22. Thompson		
23. Corbin		
24. Liebaert		
25. Budnick		
26. Johnson, Carol		
27. Stewart		
28. Jensen		
Roll: Ayes _____ Noes _____ Absent _____ Abstain _____  Passed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> _____ Lost _____ Refer _____ Amend _____ Other _____		
Rev. 8-19-05		

**RESOLUTION #122-05**  
**RESOLUTION BY THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

Subject: Budget for 2006

RESOLVED that the Douglas County Board of Supervisors approve the sum of \$54,962,194.00 (\$54,750,659.00 for operating expenditures; \$211,535.00 for capital outlay) as the budget for all departments for the year 2006.

Dated this 25<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005.

(Committee Action: Unanimous)

ACTION: Motion by Glenn, second Ryan, to adopt. Motion carried.

Roll Call		
District Number	Yes	No
1. Finn		
2. Browne		
3. O'Brien, John		
4. Glenn		
5. Baker		
6. Eckman		
7. Livingston		
8. Martin		
9. Prettie		
10. Robinson		
11. Conley		
12. Jaques		
13. Quam		
14. O'Brien, James		
15. McKenzie		
16. Sweeney		
17. Egan		
18. Allen		
19. Ryan		
20. Hendrickson		
21. Johnson, Kay		
22. Thompson		
23. Corbin		
24. Liebaert		
25. Budnick		
26. Johnson, Carol		
27. Stewart		
28. Jensen		
Roll: Ayes _____ Noes _____ Absent _____ Abstain _____  Passed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> _____ Lost _____ Refer _____ Amend _____ Other _____		
Rev. 8-19-05		

**SUCH OTHER MATTERS AS AUTHORIZED UNDER THE WISCONSIN STATUTES**

McKenzie summarized an energy conference she attended last week and the cost savings individuals, businesses, and government units can work towards. Chair Finn thanked her for the information, and suggested perhaps a special task force should be formed to look at the issue of energy conservation and costs.

The Chair thanked departments and supervisors for work on 2006 budget. He will be calling an Executive Committee meeting in the next few weeks to look at how to plan for 2007.

**ADJOURNMENT:** Motion by C. Johnson, second Ryan, to adjourn. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 10:55 a.m. Next regularly scheduled meeting - Thursday, November 17, 2005.

Submitted by,

Susan T. Sandvick  
Douglas County Clerk